

Casimir interaction with an $1/r$ -dependence

Bo E. Sernelius

*Division of Theory and Modeling, Department of Physics,
Chemistry and Biology, Linköping University, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden**

We show that in theory it is possible to obtain a Casimir interaction potential that varies with distance as $1/r$. We achieve this by invoking hypothetical particles having a harmonic oscillator interaction potential. The derivation parallels the derivation of the Casimir-Polder interaction between atoms in electromagnetism.

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k, 05.40.-a, 71.45.-d, 14.80.-j, 34.20.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

Johan Diderik van der Waals found by studying the equation of state for real gases that there is an attractive force between the gas atoms. The force is still there even if the atoms have closed electron shells. He was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1910 for this and related work. The van der Waals (vdW) force was found on empirical grounds and it was not until 1930 that London^{1,2} gave a realistic explanation for this force. This force is of electromagnetic origin and is caused by fluctuations in the electron density within the atoms. It is called a dispersion force. This force is long range and has the distance dependence, r^{-7} ; the interaction potential varies as r^{-6} . Later Casimir and Polder³ realized that if the distance is large enough the finite speed of light makes the force drop off faster, as r^{-8} ; the interaction potential varies as r^{-7} . Both in the van der Waals range and in the Casimir limit the force is due to fluctuating dipoles. For smaller separations also higher order multipole contributions appear and the distance dependence becomes more complex. The interaction potential can be obtained as the sum of the zero-point energy of all the electromagnetic normal modes⁴ of the system. One can divide the modes into two categories: one that originates in the atoms; one that originates in the surrounding vacuum. The first (second) type dominates in the vdW (Casimir) region. The Casimir-Polder potential is obtained⁴ as

$$V_{CP}(r) = -\frac{\hbar}{\pi r^6} \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha_1(i\omega) \alpha_2(i\omega) e^{-2\omega r/c} \left[3 + 6(\omega r/c) + 5(\omega r/c)^2 + 2(\omega r/c)^3 + (\omega r/c)^4 \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_j(\omega)$, $j = 1, 2$ is the polarizability of atom j . This expression is valid in both the vdW and Casimir ranges. In the vdW region we have

$$V_{vdW}(r) \underset{\omega r/c \rightarrow 0}{\approx} -\frac{3\hbar}{\pi} \frac{1}{r^6} \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha_1(i\omega) \alpha_2(i\omega) = -\frac{3\hbar}{2} \frac{\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{r^6} \frac{\omega_1\omega_2}{\omega_1+\omega_2}, \quad (2)$$

where we in the last step have used the so-called London approximation^{1,2} for the atomic polarizabilities,

$\alpha_j(i\omega) \approx \alpha_j(0) / [1 + (\omega/\omega_j)^2]$. In the Casimir range we have

$$V_C(r) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} -\frac{23\hbar c}{4\pi} [\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)] \frac{1}{r^7}. \quad (3)$$

Similar forces act between macroscopic objects. Also here they are called vdW and Casimir forces. The forces between spherical objects behave just as between two atoms and the polarizabilities are now the ones for spheres. Finite objects, whatever the shape behave as spheres if the separation is large enough. If the separation is not large enough the distance dependence of the force depends on the geometrical shapes of the objects and the material the objects are made of. It depends on the dispersion of the modes in the objects and in the medium surrounding the objects.

In Casimir's famous work⁵ from 1948 he studied an idealized system of two parallel perfectly reflecting metal plates. In this situation there are no metal bulk modes or metal surface modes involved at all; only vacuum modes contribute to the interaction and force. This means that there are no vdW range. The Casimir result is valid at all separations, d , between the plates. He found:

$$V_C(r) = -\frac{\hbar c \pi^2}{720} \frac{1}{d^3}, \quad (4)$$

for the interaction potential and

$$F_C(r) = -\frac{\hbar c \pi^2}{240} \frac{1}{d^4} \quad (5)$$

for the force. In vacuum there are only transverse modes with dispersion $\omega = cq$. If the vacuum is replaced by a purely dielectric medium there are still only transverse modes but their dispersion is different, $\omega = \tilde{c}q$, where $\tilde{c}$ is the speed of light in the medium. The only effect on the interaction potential and force is that the speed of light in vacuum is replaced by the speed of light in the medium. However, the purely dielectric medium is an idealization. In any real medium there are both transverse and longitudinal modes and the force becomes more complex. If the idealized perfectly reflecting metal plates in Casimir's thought experiment is replaced by plates of real metals there is a vdW range for smaller distances, smaller than approximately c/ω_{pl} , where ω_{pl} is the plasma frequency

of the metals. In this range the interaction potential⁶ (force) varies as d^{-2} (d^{-3}).

A related problem where we clearly see the effect of the dispersion of the normal modes of the objects is two parallel 2D (two-dimensional) metal sheets. For a 2D metal sheet there are no bulk modes or surface modes. The modes are 2D plasmons. These have a dispersion $\omega \sim \sqrt{q}$. This leads to a fractional separation dependence in the vdW range^{4,6,7}; the interaction potential (force) varies as $d^{-5/2}$ ($d^{-7/2}$). In the Casimir limit the interaction is the same as for two perfectly reflecting plates. If the dispersion of the normal modes of the sheets were different the forces would have a different separation dependence in the vdW range.

To summarize, there are different ways to influence the separation dependence of the dispersion forces between objects. It depends on the shape of the objects, the material the objects are made from and the properties of the surrounding medium. In this work we are more drastic and study a system where the fundamental interaction is not electromagnetic. We want to see if it is possible to obtain an interaction potential that varies with distance as $1/r$, like the fundamental interaction potentials in electromagnetism and gravity. In Sec. II we introduce the basic assumptions, the formalism and notation. Sec. III is devoted to the derivation of the fields from a dipole. These results are used as the starting point for the derivation of the dispersion potential and force in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V follows summary and discussions.

II. THEORETICAL BASIS

The dispersion forces between atoms have an electromagnetic origin where the fundamental interaction is the Coulomb interaction between charged particles, electrons and protons. We will in this work introduce hypothetical particles with a different fundamental interaction potential. We make the basic assumptions that this interaction travels in vacuum with the speed of light and that Einstein's two postulates in special relativity holds also for this interaction. We will then parallel the derivation of the dispersion forces in electromagnetism.

We have reasons to believe that any fundamental interaction potential should form closed classical particle orbits. There are only two central force fields where all bound orbits are closed: one has the interaction potential $V \sim -r^{-1}$ and the other has $V \sim r^2$. The second type is known as a harmonic oscillator potential and is our obvious choice of candidate.

Our notation throughout this work is in analogy with the electromagnetic case. We put a tilde above the quantities to distinguish them from the electromagnetic counterparts. We assume that the particles have charge $\pm\tilde{q}$ and postulate that the electric field from a charge $\tilde{q}$ at the origin is $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \tilde{q}\mathbf{r}$. This gives the scalar potential the form $\tilde{\varphi}(r) = -\tilde{q}r^2/2$. This potential is without bounds. This means that the particles can never be found indi-

vidually; they are always in pairs. It takes an infinite energy to separate them completely. If we assume that they are each other's anti-particles the pair will turn into two pairs when one tries to separate them past a critical distance. This type of interaction leads to composite particles that are neutral and have no direct interaction; dispersion interactions due to fluctuations are possible.

To find the effect from fluctuating electric dipoles we have to start with the field from a dipole with dipole moment $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \tilde{q}\mathbf{d}$. It is found to be $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$. Thus the field from a dipole is just minus the dipole moment. There are no quadrupole or higher order multi-pole contributions as opposed to in the ordinary electromagnetic theory. Furthermore the field has no spatial dependence. This holds for any static distribution of charges within the composite particle – the field lacks spatial dependence. This implicates that the only static field is a dipole field and this dipole field is constant throughout all space, independent of the position of the particles. There are no other multi-pole fields. This is very encouraging.

From Einstein's two postulates in special relativity follows that there has to be a magnetic field companion to the electric field. Furthermore these two fields obey the two homogeneous Maxwell's equations, $\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} + (1/c) \partial \tilde{\mathbf{B}} / \partial t = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = 0$. This means that we may introduce scalar and vector potentials, $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, respectively, where $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -\nabla \tilde{\varphi} - (1/c) \partial \tilde{\mathbf{A}} / \partial t$, all in complete analogy with in electromagnetism.

Now we have all we need for our derivations. We start by determining, in the next section, the fields from a time-dependent electric dipole.

III. FIELDS FROM A TIME-DEPENDENT DIPOLE

In this section we derive the fields from a time-dependent dipole. We perform the derivation along the lines used by Heald and Marion⁸ on a Hertzian dipole at the origin. We start with the retarded potentials,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{r}', t - R/c) R^2; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \int d^3r' \tilde{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t - R/c) R^2; \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ are the charge and current densities, respectively. From the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}} &= \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}} &= -\nabla \tilde{\varphi} - \frac{1}{c} \partial \tilde{\mathbf{A}} / \partial t \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

follow

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \int_V \left([\tilde{\rho}] R \hat{R} - \frac{1}{2c} [\dot{\tilde{\rho}}] R^2 \hat{R} - \left[\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{J}}} \right] \frac{R^2}{2c^2} \right) dv' \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1(\mathbf{r}, t) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_3(\mathbf{r}, t); \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \int_V \left(-[\tilde{\mathbf{J}}] \times \hat{R} \frac{R}{c} + \left[\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{J}}} \right] \times \hat{R} \frac{R^2}{2c^2} \right) dv' \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(\mathbf{r}, t) + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(\mathbf{r}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a dot means the time derivative and square brackets that the function within the brackets is determined at retarded times, $t - r/c$. We have chosen a spherical coordinate system with its z -axis along $\mathbf{p}$. We have used the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla([\dot{\rho}]R^2) &= R^2\nabla[\dot{\rho}] + [\dot{\rho}]\nabla(R^2); \\ \nabla[\dot{\rho}] &= [\dot{\rho}]\nabla(t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/c) = -\frac{1}{c}[\dot{\rho}]\hat{R}; \\ \nabla \times ([\dot{\mathbf{J}}]R^2) &= R^2\nabla \times [\dot{\mathbf{J}}] - [\dot{\mathbf{J}}] \times \nabla(R^2); \\ \nabla \times [\dot{\mathbf{J}}] &= -[\dot{\mathbf{J}}] \times \nabla(t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/c) = \frac{1}{c}[\dot{\mathbf{J}}] \times \hat{R}.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Now, we apply these relations to a Hertzian dipole at the origin pointing in the $\hat{z}$ -direction. We have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}dv' \rightarrow \tilde{I}dt\hat{z} \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{I}}{d}\mathbf{d} = \dot{\mathbf{p}} \text{ and } R \rightarrow r. \quad (10)$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\mathbf{p}}]; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1 &= -\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\dot{\mathbf{p}}] \times \hat{r}; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\mathbf{p}}] \times \hat{r}.\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

We study the two remaining fields on the axis of the dipole and in the equatorial plane. From these we get the fields in a general point. We start with the axis and let $\Delta t = d/2c$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1)_{ax} &= [\tilde{q}(t' + \Delta t)(z - d/2) \\ &\quad - \tilde{q}(t' - \Delta t)(z + d/2)]\hat{z} \\ &= [([\tilde{q}] + [\dot{\tilde{q}}]d/2c)(z - d/2) \\ &\quad - ([\tilde{q}] - [\dot{\tilde{q}}]d/2c)(z + d/2)]\hat{z} \\ &= [(-d[\tilde{q}] + [\dot{\tilde{q}}]zd/c)]\hat{z} \\ &= -[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}] + [\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}}]\frac{z}{c},\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2)_{ax} &= -\frac{1}{2c}[\dot{\tilde{q}}(t' + \Delta t)(z - d/2)^2 \\ &\quad - \dot{\tilde{q}}(t' - \Delta t)(z + d/2)^2]\hat{z} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2c}[(\dot{[\tilde{q}]} + [\ddot{\tilde{q}}]d/2c)(z^2 - dz) \\ &\quad - (\dot{[\tilde{q}]} - [\ddot{\tilde{q}}]d/2c)(z^2 + dz)]\hat{z} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2c}[\dot{[\tilde{q}]}(-2dz) + [\ddot{\tilde{q}}]dz^2/c]\hat{z} \\ &= [\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}}]\frac{z}{c} - \frac{1}{2}[\ddot{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}}]\left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^2.\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

The fields in the equatorial plane are

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1)_{eq} &= \left[\frac{[\tilde{q}]\sqrt{r^2 + (d/2)^2}2(d/2)}{\sqrt{r^2 + (d/2)^2}}\right]\hat{\theta} \\ &= -[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}],\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2)_{eq} &= -\frac{1}{2c}[\dot{[\tilde{q}]}[r^2 + (d/2)^2] \\ &\quad 2(d/2)]\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\sqrt{r^2 + (d/2)^2}} \rightarrow \frac{r}{2c}[\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}}].\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

Now we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1 &= \left(-[\tilde{p}] + \frac{r}{c}[\dot{\tilde{p}}]\right)\cos\theta\hat{r} + [\dot{\tilde{p}}]\sin\theta\hat{\theta}; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2 &= \left(\frac{r}{c}[\dot{\tilde{p}}] - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\tilde{p}}]\right)\cos\theta\hat{r} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\dot{\tilde{p}}]\sin\theta\hat{\theta}; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\tilde{p}}]\cos\theta\hat{r} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\dot{\tilde{p}}]\sin\theta\hat{\theta},\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

and the total fields are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \left\{-[\tilde{p}] + 2\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\dot{\tilde{p}}] - \left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\tilde{p}}]\right\}\cos\theta\hat{r} \\ &\quad + \left\{[\dot{\tilde{p}}] - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\ddot{\tilde{p}}] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\tilde{p}}]\right\}\sin\theta\hat{\theta}; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \left\{-\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)[\dot{\tilde{p}}] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2[\ddot{\tilde{p}}]\right\}\sin\theta\hat{\phi}.\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

Now we have all we need to calculate the dispersion forces between the composite particles. This we do in next section.

IV. DISPERSION POTENTIAL AND FORCE

We define the polarizability, $\tilde{\alpha}$, for a composite particle through: $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$. The dispersion interaction between two particles, 1 and 2, is found from realizing that a polarization of particle 1 gives rise to an electric field at the position of particle 2. This field polarizes particle 2, which results in a field at the position of particle 1. Closing this loop results in self-sustained fields – normal modes. We find these in analogy with the treatment of the two-atom system in the book: Surface Modes in Physics⁴.

We may reformulate the electric field from a time dependent dipole as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= (\hat{p} \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r}[-\tilde{p}(t - r/c) + 2\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)\dot{\tilde{p}}(t - r/c) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2\ddot{\tilde{p}}(t - r/c)] \\ &\quad + [\hat{p} - (\hat{p} \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r}][-\tilde{p}(t - r/c) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)\dot{\tilde{p}}(t - r/c) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2\ddot{\tilde{p}}(t - r/c)],\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

and its Fourier transform with respect to time becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= \tilde{p}(\omega)e^{i\omega r/c}\{(\hat{p} \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r}[-1 + 2(-i\omega r/c) \\ &\quad + (\omega r/c)^2] + [\hat{p} - (\hat{p} \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r}][-1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega r/c) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2]\}.\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

On tensor form we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= e^{i\omega r/c}\left\{\tilde{\alpha}[-1 + 2(-i\omega r/c) + (\omega r/c)^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\beta}[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega r/c) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2]\right\}\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\omega),\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

where the tensors are: $\tilde{\alpha} = r_\mu r_\nu / r^2$; $\tilde{\beta} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - r_\mu r_\nu / r^2 = \tilde{I} - \tilde{\alpha}$. We let all tensors have double tildes to distinguish them from our fields. Things become very simple if we choose the third principle axis to point along $\mathbf{r}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\tilde{\alpha}^2 = \tilde{\alpha}$; $\tilde{\beta}^2 = \tilde{\beta}$; $\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\beta} = 0$. If we now let

$$\tilde{\gamma} = e^{i\omega r/c} \left\{ \tilde{\alpha} \left[-1 + 2(-i\omega r/c) + (\omega r/c)^2 \right] + \tilde{\beta} \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega r/c) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (21)$$

we may set up the self-sustained fields between two polarizable particles as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2(\omega) &= \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \omega) = \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega); \\ \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega) &= \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \omega) = \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_i(\mathbf{r}_j, \omega)$ is the electric field at the position of particle j caused by the polarized particle i . Eliminating $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2$ gives

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega) = \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega) = \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) \tilde{\gamma}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega), \quad (23)$$

where in the last step we have assumed that the polarizabilities are isotropic. Now, we have

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega) = \left(\tilde{\tilde{I}} - \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) \tilde{\gamma}^2 \right) \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1(\omega) = 0. \quad (24)$$

This system of equations has non-trivial solutions, the normal modes, if $|\tilde{\tilde{A}}| = 0$. Now,

$$\tilde{\gamma}^2 = e^{i2\omega r/c} \left\{ \tilde{\alpha} \left[-1 + 2(-i\omega r/c) + (\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 + \tilde{\beta} \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega r/c) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\tilde{A}}(\omega)| &= \left\{ 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) e^{i2\omega r/c} \left[-1 + 2(-i\omega r/c) + (\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) e^{i2\omega r/c} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(-i\omega r/c) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right\}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We will need the results on the imaginary frequency axis, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\tilde{A}}(i\omega)| &= \left\{ 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega) e^{-2|\omega|r/c} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-1 + 2(|\omega|r/c) - (\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega) e^{-2|\omega|r/c} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(|\omega|r/c) - \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right\}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Now, the zero-point energy for the system of two interacting particles, r apart, relative the energy when they are at infinite separation is

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \left(\frac{\hbar z}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dz} \ln |\tilde{\tilde{A}}(z)|, \quad (28)$$

where the integration is performed in the complex frequency plane around a contour enclosing the positive part of the real frequency axis. This equation is obtained from the generalized argument principle⁴. With a standard procedure⁴ one arrives at an integral along the imaginary frequency axis, $V(r) = (\hbar/2\pi) \int_0^\infty d\omega \ln |\tilde{\tilde{A}}(i\omega)|$, and the resulting interaction potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &= \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \ln \left\{ \left[1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega) e^{-2\omega r/c} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-1 + 2(\omega r/c) - (\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right] \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega) e^{-2\omega r/c} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. \left[-1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c) - \frac{1}{2}(\omega r/c)^2 \right]^2 \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Expanding the logarithm, assuming that the polarizabilities are very small, gives

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &= -\frac{\hbar}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega) e^{-2\omega r/c} \left[6 - 12(\omega r/c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 17(\omega r/c)^2 - 9(\omega r/c)^3 + 3(\omega r/c)^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Two separation limits emerge, the van der Waals limit for small separations, and the Casimir limit for large. In the van der Waals limit we have

$$V(r) \approx - (3\hbar/2\pi) \int_0^\infty d\omega \tilde{\alpha}_1(i\omega) \tilde{\alpha}_2(i\omega); \quad r \ll c/\omega_0, \quad (31)$$

where ω_0 is some characteristic frequency above which the polarizabilities are negligible. Note that the interaction potential lacks an r -dependence in this range and consequently there is no force. If we assume that the characteristic frequencies are the same for the two composite particles and that the so-called London approximation^{1,2}, $\tilde{\alpha}(i\omega) = \tilde{\alpha}(0) / [1 + (\omega/\omega_0)^2]$, may be used for the polarizabilities the potential in the van der Waals limit becomes $V(r) = -(3/8) \tilde{\alpha}_1(0) \tilde{\alpha}_2(0) \hbar \omega_0$.

In the Casimir limit we have

$$V(r) = - (25\hbar c/32\pi r) \tilde{\alpha}_1(0) \tilde{\alpha}_2(0); \quad r \gg c/\omega_0, \quad (32)$$

and the force is

$$F(r) = - (25\hbar c/32\pi r^2) \tilde{\alpha}_1(0) \tilde{\alpha}_2(0). \quad (33)$$

Here, the potential has the $1/r$ -dependence that we hoped for. The polarizability is unit less and very small. A rough estimate of the transition point between the two regions is found by equating the Casimir and van der Waals potentials, using the London approximation for the latter. Doing so one finds that $r_c \approx (25c/12\pi\omega_0)$. Demanding that this is smaller than the closest possible distance between the composite particles means that the interaction potential may have the $1/r$ -dependence at all distances.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In summary, we have shown that hypothetical particles with fundamental interaction potential of harmonic oscillator type lead to retarded dispersion potentials between composite particles with the same spatial variation as the gravitational potential and the Coulomb potential. Both these fundamental interactions have serious theoretical problems. In the Coulomb case there is the problem with the divergent energy in the fields from an electron if it is assumed to be a true point particle. The present gravity theories have some problems too. One very serious problem is that the graviton, the quantum

of the quantized gravitational field has eluded detection. If one would come to the conclusion that gravity is not a fundamental interaction after all the present work could come handy.

Acknowledgments

This research was sponsored by EU within the EC-contract No:012142-NANOCASE and support from the VR Linné Centre LiLi-NFM and from CTS is gratefully acknowledged.

* Electronic address: bos@ifm.liu.se

¹ F. London, Zeitschr. f. Physik. Chem. B **11**, 222(1930).

² F. London, Zeitschr. f. Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei **63**, 245(1930).

³ H. B. G. Casimir, and D. Polder, Phys. Rev. **73**, 360 (1948).

⁴ Bo E. Sernelius, *Surface Modes in Physics*, Wiley-VCH (2001).

⁵ H. B. G. Casimir, J. Chim. Phys. **47**, 407 (1949).

⁶ M. Boström, and Bo E. Sernelius, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 2204 (2000).

⁷ Bo E. Sernelius, and P. Björk, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6592 (1998).

⁸ M. A. Heald, and J. B. Marion, *Classical Electromagnetic Radiation*, Saunders (1995).